# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT

New York, New York

11011 1 0111	7100
In the Matter of	
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)
(b)(6)	<b>A</b> (b)(6)
Respondents in Removal Proceedings	
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Distance CKGRANTED DENIED because:  DHS does not oppose the motion.  A response to the motion has not been filed to Good cause has been established for the motion.  The court agrees with the reasons stated in the	with the court. tion. he opposition to the motion.
	BURNS Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04:00*
	rable John Burns
	E OF SERVICE
This document was served by: [M] Mail; [P] I	
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer;	
1 1	TK
Date:	Ву: ОГ).

EOIR, - 1 of 1

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT

New York, New York

In the Matter of					
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	<b>A</b> (b)(6)				
Respondents in Removal Proceedings					
ORDER OF THE IMMIC	GRATION JUDGE				
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss  CXGRANTED DENIED because:	s, it is hereby order that it be:				
□ DHS does not oppose the motion. □ A response to the motion has not been filed with  ⊠ Good cause has been established for the motion □ The court agrees with the reasons stated in the o □ The motion is untimely per □ Other:					
So ordered this day of, 202					
JOHN BU	JRNS Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04:00'				
The Honorabl	e John Burns				
CERTIFICATE O					
This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Pers	onal Service; [O] Other:				
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E	, Alien's Atty/Rep.; E DHS				
Date: 7/11/22	By: JK.				

EOIR, - 1 of 1

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT

New York, New York

In the Matter of					
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	<b>A</b> (b)(6)				
Respondents in Removal Proceedings					
ORDER OF THE IMMIG	RATION JUDGE				
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss,   □XGRANTED □ DENIED because:	it is hereby order that it be:				
□ DHS does not oppose the motion. □ A response to the motion has not been filed with to Good cause has been established for the motion. □ The court agrees with the reasons stated in the oppose of the motion is untimely per □ Other:  So ordered this day of 7/6/2022, 202	position to the motion.				
	RNS Digitally signed by 30HN BURNS Date: 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04:00'				
The Honorable	John Burns				
CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE				
This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Person	nal Service; [O] Other:				
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E, A	Alien's Atty/Rep.; E DHS				
Data: 7/11/22	-116				

EOIR, - 1 of 1

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:	
(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)	File Nos. A (b)(6)  A (b)(6)  A (b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings	
ORDER OF THE IMMIG	RATION JUDGE
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dism ORDERED that the motion be:	iss Without Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[X] GRANTED.	
[ ] DENIED because:	
	Burns Judge
CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE
This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Po	ersonal Service; [O] Other:
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [	Alien's Atty/Rep.: DHS
Date: 6/15/22	By:BG

EOIR - 1 of 1

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NY

In the Matt	er of:			
	(b)(6)		File Nos.	A (b)(6)
(b)(6)	(0)(0)			A (b)(6) A (b)(6)
	(b)(6)			A (0)(0)
(b)(6)				
	(b)(6)			
In Remova	l Proceedings			
	ORDER O	OF THE IMMIC	GRATION JUD	GE
Upon consid ORDERED	leration of the Joint that the motion be:	t Motion to Dism	iss Without Pr	ejudice, it is HEREBY
[★] GRAN	TED.			
[ ] DENIE	D because:			
CHEL	22		Shi	den .
6/15/ Date			Burns/ ligration Judge	
Date		Imn	igration Judge SERVICE	[O] Other:
Date This docume		RTIFICATE OF	SERVICE crsonal Service;	[ O ] Other:

EOIR - 1 of 1



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Reasonable Fear Case Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	05/23/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's REVIEW OF REASONABLE FEAR HEARING.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear.

The immigration court finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

Order: Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 05/23/2022

Appeal:	Department of Homeland Security: waived	reserved
	Respondent:	reserved
Appeal D	ue:	
PF		
	C	
	Certificate of Serv	vice
This docu	ment was served:	
Via: [ M ]	Mail [ [ P ] Personal Service [ [ E ] Electronic Ser	vice
To: [ M ]	Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer	] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
By: Gillis	s, Brittney, Court Staff	
Date: 05/	24/2022	



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIFFRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(0)(0)	Date:
	11/29/2022
ORDER ON MO	TION TO DISMISS
the motion to the non-moving party and the coopportunity to respond. The motion is opportunity to respond to provide the motion is opportunity to respond to pr	8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of ourt has provided the non-moving party with an posed ⊠ unopposed.  s, the immigration court orders that the motion to
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/29/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail [ [ P ] Persona	l Service   [ E ] Electronic	Service	
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Nonc	itizen c/o custodial officer	[ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep	o.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name :	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 11/30/2022 By: Friedm	an, Michael , Court Staff		



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:		
(b)(6)			
To:			
(b)(6)	Riders:		
(b)(6) In Removal Proceedings			
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security		
	Date:		
	11/21/2022		
ORDER OF THE IM	MIGRATION JUDGE		
This is a summary of the oral decision ento			
opinion in this case.	written Findings, Decision, and Orders is the official		
opinion in the case.			
	nal oral decision in this proceeding.		
I. Removability			
1. Kemovability			
The court found Respondent   removable   in	nadmissible under the following		
Section(s) of the Immigration and Nationality Ac	t (INA): 212(a) (6) (A) (i)		
II. Applications for Relief			
Respondent's application for:			
A. Asylum/Withholding/Convention Against Tor	ture		
⊠ Withholding of Removal under the INA was [	granted ☐denied ⊠withdrawn.		
Withholding of Removal under the <u>Convention</u>	n Against Torture was □granted □denied ⊠withdrawn.		
Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous applic	eation for asylum after notice of the consequences.		
B. Cancellation of Removal			
	anent Residents under INA 8 240A(a) was		
☐ Cancellation of Removal for Lawful Permanent Residents under INA § 240A(a) was ☐ granted ☐ denied ☐ withdrawn.			
Etantou Menteu Mututawii.			

☐ Cancellation of Removal for Nonpermanent Residents under INA § 240A(b)(1) was

granted denied withdrawn.
Special Rule Cancellation of Removal INA § 240A(b)(2) was
granted denied withdrawn.
C. Waiver
A waiver under INA § was   granted   denied   withdrawn.
D. Adjustment of Status
Adjustment of Status under INA
E. Other
III. Voluntary Departure
Respondent's application for pre-conclusion post-conclusion voluntary departure was denied granted Further information regarding voluntary departure is attached.  Respondent shall depart the United States without expense to the Government by  Respondent shall post a departure bond in the amount of with the DHS on or before  IV. Removal
Respondent was ordered removed to
☐ In the alternative, Respondent was ordered removed to
V. Other
☐ Proceedings were ☐ dismissed ☐ terminated ☐ administratively closed.
Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered.
Respondent's status was rescinded under INA § 246.
☐ Other:

SUVU Restongood

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/21/2022

Appeal:	Department of Homeland Security: Respondent:	⊠ waived ⊠ waived	☐ reserved ☐ reserved	
Appeal D	ue:			
	Certifi	icate of Service	•	
This docu	ment was served:			
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Ele	ectronic Servic	e e	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS  Respondent Name : (b)(6) A-Number : (b)(6)				
Riders:		-		
Date: 11/2	21/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration	on Judge		



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINITERATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
PEREZ-GARCIA, JOSE LUIS	Riders:
3 JOHN ST	In Removal Proceedings
OSSINING, NY 10562	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	08/16/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J00000043558

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was se-	rved:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service				
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodi	al officer   [ M ] Non	citizen's atty/r	ep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number:	<b>(</b> b)(6)	]
Riders:				•
Date: 08/16/2022 By:	Scheinberg, Tami, Con	urt Staff		



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR TM THE GRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	11/02/2022
ORDER ON MOT	ION TO DISMISS
a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 C the motion to the non-moving party and the coulopportunity to respond. The motion is opposition of the proceedings under 8 C the motion to the non-moving party and the coulopportunity to respond. The motion is opposition of the proceedings under 8 C the motion to the non-moving party and the coulopportunity to respond. The motion is opposition of the motion is opposition.  After considering the facts and circumstances, it dismiss is:  Granted without prejudice  Denied	sed 🗵 unopposed.
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/02/2022

00000043559

### Certificate of Service

This docum	nent was	served:	
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ P	] Personal Service   [ E ] Electro	nic Service
To [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS			
Responden	t Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)
Riders:			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	
Date: 11/0	2/2022 B	v: J. Zhang . Court Staff	

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT

New York, New York

New 1 Of K, 1464	V 1 OIK				
In the Matter of					
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	A (b)(6)				
(b)(6)	<b>A</b> (b)(6)				
Respondents in Removal Proceedings					
ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE					
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss, it is hereby order that it be:  CXGRANTED DENIED because:					
<ul> <li>□ DHS does not oppose the motion.</li> <li>□ A response to the motion has not been filed with a Good cause has been established for the motion.</li> <li>□ The court agrees with the reasons stated in the op</li> <li>□ The motion is untimely per</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>					
So ordered this day of7/6/2022, 202					
JOHN BU	RNS Digitally signed by JOHN BURNS Date 2022.07.06 11:59:01 -04/00				
The Honorable					
<u>CERTIFICATE OF</u>	SERVICE				
This document was served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Perso	nal Service; [O] Other:				
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; E	Alien's Atty/Rep.; E DHS				
Date: 7/11/22	av: Uh .				



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

espondent N	lame:	A-Number:
	(b)(6)	(b)(6)
o:		
	(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)		In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)		Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)		Date:
		09/12/2022
	ORDER (	OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE
based up	on joint motion made on the	e record on 9/12/2022
•	3	
0.1		
Order:	case is Dismissed Without	Prejudice
		1 R. 1
		Vay Scho
		Immigration Index: Prime John 00/12/2022
		Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/12/2022
A	Denominant of House to d	Committee
Appeal:	Department of Homeland	
	Respondent:	☐ waived ☐ reserved
Appeal D	lue:	

### Certificate of Sarsice

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service			
To. [ ] Noncitizen [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. [ E ] DHS			
Respondent Name :[	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 10/07/2022			
By: Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff			



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMITTATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
To:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
	Date:	
	09/13/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
	conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J00000043562

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was	erved:	
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service		
To: [ ] Noncitizen	Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. [ E ] DHS	
Respondent Name	(b)(6) .   A-Number : (b)(6)	
Riders:		
Date: 09/13/2022 B	v: Burns, John , Immigration Judge	

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NEW YORK (290 Broadway)

IN THE MATTER OF	)
[A (b)(6)	) IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS
(b)(6)	)
RESPONDENT	) ) NON- DETAINED )
ORDER OF THE	IMMIGRATION JUDGE
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion ORDERED that the motion be:	to Dismiss Without Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[×] GRANTED.	
[ ] DENIED because:	
6.1-1-2	21 12
Date	Honorable J. Burns
	lmmigration Judge
CERTIFIC	CATE OF SERVICE
This document was served by: [ M ] Ma	
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial	Officer; [X] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [X] DHS
Date: 6/7/22	ву: ВС

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NEW YORK (BROADWAY)

In the Matter of:	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)
	ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION on of the U.S Department of Homelan nopposed Motion to Dismiss without the motion be:	d Security and th	
	GRANTED		
[ ] DENIED, b	ecause:		
6/27/2 <sup>2</sup> Date		Immigration Ju	dge
	CERTIFICATE OF SERV	ICE	
This document wa	as served by: [ M   Mail; [ P ] Personal	Service; [O] Othe	er: _
To: [ ] Alien; [	Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [X] Alien'	s Atty/Rep.; [10] I	OHS
Date: 6/22/	(22 By:	BG	

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NEW YORK (290 BROADWAY)

In the Matter of:	
(b)(6)	File No. A (b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings	
ORDER OF THE IMMI	GRATION JUDGE
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Discontinuous CRDERED that the motion be:	miss Without Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[X] GRANTED.	
[ ] DENIED because:	
5/26/12 Date Jan	hn Burns migration Judge
CERTIFICATE O	OF SERVICE
This document was served by: [M] Mail; [P]	
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer	; [X] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [X] DHS
Date: 5/20/22	ву:



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMITTATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	11/10/2022
a motion to dismiss these proceedings up the motion to the non-moving party and opportunity to respond. The motion is	of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of the court has provided the non-moving party with an opposed unopposed.
dismiss is:	
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/10/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
To: [ ] Noncitizen [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep. [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name: (b)(6) A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:
Date: 11/10/2022 By: Friedman Michael Court Staff

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:	NON-DETAI	NED
(b)(6)	File No:	A (b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings		
		•
ORDER OF	THE IMMIGRATION JU	JDGE
Upon consideration of the <b>Joint M</b> ORDERED that the motion be:	lotion to Dismiss Without	Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[×] GRANTED.		
DENIED because:		
	1	
7/1/22	Jhn Bry	
Date	<b>BURNS, JOHN</b> Immigration Judg	re
CERT	TIFICATE OF SERVICE	
This document was served by: [ M	] Mail; [ P ] Personal Servi	ce; [ <b>E</b> ] Other:
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Cus	todial Officer; [FAlien's A	Atty/Rep.; [E] DHS
Date: 7/20/22	By	-j <sub>V</sub>

EOIR - 1 of 1



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMITURATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
*	Date:
	07/20/2022
ORDER OF THE	IMMIGRATION JUDGE
Based upon joint motion	
Order: case is Dismissed Without Prejudice	
	VAM L
	Immigration Judge: Burns, John 07/20/2022
	•
Appeal: Department of Homeland Security:	⊠ waived ☐ reserved
Respondent:	⊠ waived ☐ reserved
Appeal Due:	

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Williams, Antoinette, Court Staff

Date: 07/21/2022



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
Го:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	01/06/2023
ORDER O	N MOTION TO DISMISS
the motion to the non-moving party and opportunity to respond. The motion is	under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of d the court has provided the non-moving party with an ☐ opposed ☑ unopposed.  stances, the immigration court orders that the motion to
☐ Denied	
From an analysis of	
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/06/2023

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was s	served:	
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P	Personal Service [ [ E ] Electronic Se	rvice
To: [ ] Noncitizen [	[ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [	E ] Noncitizen atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name :	(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)
Riders:		
Date: 01/06/2023 B	v: Friedman Michael Court Staff	

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW** IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of (b)(6) — A (b)(6)				
ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE				
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Dismiss Proceedings without prejudice, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be: granted, denied.				
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Department of Homeland Security, in its sole discretion, may commence new proceedings at any time, and that no provision herein, including any provision concerning reopening of proceedings, shall operate to limit the Department's right to commence new proceedings.				
6/6/22 Adm Br				
Date HON. JOHN BURNS Immigration Judge				
Certificate of Service				
The document was served by: [Mail, [ ] Personal Service				
To: [ ] Alien, [ ] Alien c/o Custodial Officer, [ Alien's Attny/Rep, [ DHS				
Date: 6/6/2020 By: Court Staff: BC				

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:	(b)(6)	<u>A-Number</u>	A	(b)(6)
	ORDER OF THE IMMIGR	ATION JUDGE		
	on of the <b>U.S. Department of Ho sint Motion to Dismiss,</b> it is HER		notion be:	
[ ] GRANTED.				
[ ]DENIED,bec	cause:			_
				_
				_
				_
				_
				_
CP/19/1:7	0	Un Pon		
3/1/27 Date	Immi	gration Judge Deborah Klal	ır	
		John Burns		_
	CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE		
This document w	as served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Per	sonal Service; [O ] Other:		_
To:[ ] Alien; [	] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [	Alien's Atty/Rep.; [] DHS		
Date:		By:		

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:	(b)(6)	A-Number:	<b>A</b> (b)(6)
	ORDER OF THE IMMIGRA	ATION JUDGE	
•	of the U.S. Department of Hor t Motion to Dismiss, it is HERE		
[\(\)] GRANTED.			
[ ] DENIED, beca	nuse:		
rd reliance of			
9/28/2 <sup>1</sup> Date		July 3000 Immigration Judge	
		John Burns	
	CERTIFICATE OF S	ERVICE	
This document was	served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Pers	onal Service; [O] Other	E ) ervice
	Alien c/o Custodial Officer, [X		
Date: 9/29/2	2	By: MIF	

EDIR - 3 of

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)
	ORDER OF THE IMMIGRA	TION JUDGE	
	on of the U.S. Department of Hom int Motion to Dismiss Without Pr e:		ORDERED
[X] GRANTED			
DENIED, be	ecause:		
1/3/1022. Date	John	Burn Judge	-
	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SE</u>	RVICE	
This document wa	as served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P ] Perso		Elihnk
	] Alien c/o Custodial Officer; [E]		
Date: 1/6/2	022	By: <u>B7</u>	



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	10/31/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

$\boxtimes$	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/31/2022

This document was serv	ed:	
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Pe	ersonal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service	e
	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [	Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)
Riders:		
Date: 11/02/2022 By: 0	Garcia-Carr, Glenda, Court Staff	



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	11/17/2022
a motion to dismiss these proceedings under 8 the motion to the non-moving party and the coupportunity to respond. The motion is opposition of the proceedings under 8 the motion of the motion is opposition. After considering the facts and circumstances, the dismiss is:	osed 🗵 unopposed.
Δ.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

00000043575

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/17/2022

This document was s	served:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P	Personal Service [ [ E ] Electronic Service		
To: [ ] Noncitizen [	[ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] No	oncitizen atty	rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 11/17/2022 B	y: Friedman, Michael, Court Staff		



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	12/22/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

$\boxtimes$	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Vietnam, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043576

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 12/22/2022

This document was served:
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name: (b)(6) A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:
Date: 12/23/2022 Rv: Friedman Michael Court Staff



IT IS SO ORDERED.

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMM GRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	10/03/2022
ORDER ON MOT	ION TO DISMISS
	sed unopposed.
<ul><li>☑ Granted without prejudice</li><li>☐ Denied</li></ul>	
Further explanation:	
as requested on the record on 10/3/2022	

John Bruns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

00000043577

### Certificate of Service


This document was s	served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P	] Personal Servi	ce   [ E ] Electron	ic Service	
				Noncitizen atty/rep. [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name :	<b>(</b> b)(6 <b>)</b>	A-Number :	(b)(6)	]
Riders:				
T . 10,000,0000 T				

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(5)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	12/13/2022
ORDER ON MO	TION TO DISMISS
☐ The Respondent ☐ the Department of Ho	omeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed
	3 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of
	ourt has provided the non-moving party with an
opportunity to respond. The motion is opportunity	oosed 🖂 unopposed.
After considering the facts and circumstances	, the immigration court orders that the motion to
dismiss is:	, 410 1111112
☐ Granted without prejudice	
Denied	
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 12/13/2022

This document was serve	d:			
Via: [M] Mail [[P] Per	sonal Service   [ E	] Electronic Service		
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] N	loncitizen c/o custo	dial officer   [ E ] None	citizen atty/	rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)	
Riders:				_
Date: 12/13/2022 By: Fri	edman, Michael , C	Court Staff		



Respondent Name:		A-Number	r <b>:</b>	
	(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
To:				
	(b)(6)	Riders:		
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
		In Remova	al Proceedings	
		Initiated b	y the Department of Homeland Sec	urity
		Date:		
		10/03/202	2	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

J00000043579 Pylns

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service			
To: [ M ] Noncitizen [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. [ E ] DHS			
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)	
Riders:			
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff			



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	10/03/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This docum	nent was served	1:				
Via: [ M ] I	Mail   [ P ] Pers	sonal Service	[E]Elec	tronic Servi	ice	
To: [ M ] N	Noncitizen   [ ]	Noncitizen c/o	custodia	l officer   [	] Noncitizen's atty/re	ep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name : (b)(6)		A-Number :	(b)(6)			
Riders:						
(b)(6)		(b)(6)				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)				

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
(-)(-)	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
,	10/03/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:				
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service				
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS				rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name (b)(6)		A-Number	(b)(6)	
Riders:				
(b)(6)	(b)(6)			
(b)(6)	(b)(6)			

Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael, Court Staff

00000043582

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NEW YORK

In the Matter of:	(b)(6)		A-Number:	(b)(6)
	ORDER OF THE IMM	IGRATION JU	DGE	
Upon consideration HEREBY ORDER	n of the <b>respondent's Moti</b> c ED that the motion be:	on to Terminate	e Without Prej	udice, it is
[X] GRANTED.				
[ ] DENIED, bed	cause:			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			* .	
5/26/22 Date		Immigrati	on Judge	
	CERTIFICATE	OF SERVICE		
This document was	s served by: [ M ] Mail; [ P	Personal Service	ce; [O] Other:	
To: [ ] Alien; [	] Alien c/o Custodial Office	er, [X] Alien's A	ktty/Rep.; [M]D	OHS
Date: 5/2k/	2222	Ву:	Ba	

00000043583

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter	of:	6		
(b)(6) (b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6) (b)(6)		File Nos.	(b)(6) (b)(6)
In Removal I	Proceedings			
	ORDER OF	F THE IMMIGR	ATION JUDG	<b>C</b> .
	ration of the <b>Joint M</b> nat the motion be:	Motion to Dismis	s Without Preju	ndice, it is HEREBY
[X] GRANTI	ED.			
[ ] DENIED	because:			
6/15/2 Dale	2	John I Immig	Burns Judge	
This documen		TIFICATE OF S		O]Other:
To:[]Alien	; [ ] Alien c/o Cus	stodial Officer; [x	] Alien's Atty/R	.cp.:/{\}DHS
Date: 6/15	5/22		ву: ВС	



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(p)(e)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	09/13/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
	conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of t

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

000000(3588


Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was	served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ I	P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic	Service		
To: [ M ] Noncitize	en [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial office	er   [ E ] None	citizen's atty/rep.   [	P ] DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)	
Riders:				
Date: 09/14/2022 I	By: Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff			



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	11/07/2022
ORDER ON M	OTION TO DISMISS
a motion to dismiss these proceedings under	Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed r 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of court has provided the non-moving party with an apposed  unopposed.
After considering the facts and circumstance dismiss is:	es, the immigration court orders that the motion to
☐ Granted without prejudice	
☐ Denied	
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/07/2022

### **Certificate of Service**


This document was	served:				
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ I	P] Personal Service [ [ E ] Ele	ectronic Service			
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS					
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)		
Riders:		_		-	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)				

Date: 11/07/2022 By: Friedman, Michael, Court Staff



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	11/07/2022
ORDER ON MOT	TION TO DISMISS
☐ The Respondent ☐ the Department of Hor	neland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed
	CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of
the motion to the non-moving party and the co	
opportunity to respond. The motion is $\square$ opportunity	osed 🔯 unopposed.
	the immigration court orders that the motion to
dismiss is:	
☐ Denied	
Further explanation:	
Putther explanation.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/07/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:				
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Perso	nal Service   [ E ]	Electronic Ser	vice	
To: [ ] Noncitizen [ ] Nor	ncitizen c/o custo	dial officer   [ ]	E] Noncitizen att	y/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A	(b)(6)	
Riders:				
(b)(6)	(b)(6)			

Date: 11/07/2022 By: Friedman, Michael, Court Staff

00000043587

#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NY


In the Matter of:	
(b)(6)	File No. A (b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings	
ORDER OF THE I	MMIGRATION JUDGE
Upon consideration of the <b>Joint Motion to</b> ORDERED that the motion be:	Dismiss Without Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[ × GRANTED.	
[ ] DENIED because:	
6/22/62	al Bres
Date	John Burns
	Immigration Judge
CERTIFICA	TE OF SERVICE
This document was served by: [M] Mail;	[P] Personal Service; [O] Other:
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial O	fficer; [X] Alien's Atty/Rep.; [X] DHS
Date: 1/22/22	ву: РО



Respondent Name:	A-Number:			
(b)(6)	(b)(6)			
То:				
(b)(6)	Riders:			
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings			
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security			
(b)(6)	Date:			
	01/03/2023			
ORDER	R OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE			
•	al decision entered on 09/21/2022. This memorandum is solely for les. The oral or written Findings, Decision, and Orders is the official			
Both parties waived the issu	nance of a formal oral decision in this proceeding.			
I. Removability				
The court found Respondent	emovable inadmissible under the following			
*	Nationality Act (INA): 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(l) and 212(a)(6)(A)(i)			
II. Applications for Relie	f .			
Respondent's application for:				
A. Asylum/Withholding/Convention	on Against Torture			
	the INA was granted denied withdrawn.			
	the Convention Against Torture was granted denied withdrawn.			
Respondent knowingly filed a <u>frivolous application for asylum</u> after notice of the consequences.				
B. Cancellation of Removal				
☐ Cancellation of Removal for ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	r Lawful Permanent Residents under <u>INA § 240A(a)</u> was hdrawn.			

☐ Cancellation of Removal for Nonpermanent Residents under INA § 240A(b)(1) was

granted denied withdrawn.
Special Rule Cancellation of Removal and INA § 240A(b)(2) was
granted denied withdrawn.
C. Waiver
☐ A waiver under INA § was ☐ granted ☐ denied ☐ withdrawn.
D. Adjustment of Status
Adjustment of Status under INA \ was granted denied withdrawn.
E. Other
III. Voluntary Departure
<ul> <li>☐ Respondent's application for ☐ pre-conclusion ☐ post-conclusion voluntary departure was ☐ denied ☐ granted</li> <li>☐ Further information regarding voluntary departure is attached.</li> </ul>
Respondent shall depart the United States without expense to the Government by
Respondent shall post a departure bond in the amount of with the DHS on or before
***
IV. Removal
□ Respondent was ordered removed to Togo.
☐ In the alternative, Respondent was ordered removed to
V. Other
☐ Proceedings were ☐ dismissed ☐ terminated ☐ administratively closed.
Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretionary relief for failure to appear as ordered.
Respondent's status was rescinded under INA § 246.
Other:




Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/03/2023

Appeal:	Department of Homeland Security:		reserved	
	Respondent:	□ waived	⊠ reserved	
Appeal D	ue: 10/21/2022			
	Certif	icate of Service	e	
This docu	ment was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service				
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS				
Responde	nt Name : (b)(6)	A-Numbe	T: (b)(6)	
Riders:				
Date: 01/03/2023 By: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff				



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	11/16/2022
a motion to dismiss these proceed the motion to the non-moving proportunity to respond. The modern and the considering the facts and dismiss is:	partment of Homeland Security \( \subseteq \) the parties jointly has/have filed seedings under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of party and the court has provided the non-moving party with an otion is \( \subseteq \) opposed \( \subseteq \) unopposed.  circumstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to
☐ Denied  Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 11/16/2022

This document was	served:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P	] Personal Service [ [ E ] Electronic Serv	vice	
To: [ ] Noncitizen	[ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E	] Noncitizen a	tty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:			_
Date: 11/17/2022 B	ly: Friedman, Michael , Court Staff		



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date: 09/13/2022
	ON 13/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to El Salvador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was serv	ved:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] P	ersonal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service			
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ [ E ]	Noncitizen's	atty/rep.   [ P	] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number	(b)(6)	]
Riders:				_
Date: 09/14/2022 By:	Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff			



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	04/27/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Ecuador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

00000043591 VONA BUNNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

#### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Gillis, Brittney, Court Staff

Date: 04/27/2022



A-Number:	
(b)(6)	
Riders:	
In Removal Proceedings	
Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
Date:	
09/13/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

$\boxtimes$	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to El Salvador, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Person	al Service   [ E ] Electro	onic Service	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen [ ] No	ncitizen c/o custodial o	fficer   [ E ] Noncitizen's	atty/rep.   [ P ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, 9	Sangyoon Court Staff		



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	10/03/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Guatemala, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

700000d43593 BVVV5

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

This document was serv	red:	
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Po	ersonal Service   [ E ] El	ectronic Service
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custod	tial officer   [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:		
Date: 10/03/2022 By: I	Friedman, Michael, Cou	urt Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMITERATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	09/19/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000043594

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

'his document was served:
ia: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
o: [M] Noncitizen [] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [E] Noncitizen's atty/rep. [E] DHS
Respondent Name (b)(6)   A-Number : (b)(6)
liders:
Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John, Immigration Judge



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMISTRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
To:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security  Date:	
	09/13/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was serv	red:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Po	ersonal Service   [ E ] Ele	ectronic Service	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custod	ial officer   [ E ] Nonc	citizen's atty/rep.   [ P ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 09/14/2022 By: 1	Lee, Sangyoon, Court St	taff	

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE NEW YORK, NY

In the Matter of:	NON-DETAIL	NED
(b)(6) Respondent,	File No:	<b>A</b> (b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings		
ORDER OF THE	IMMIGRATION JU	<b>JDGE</b>
Upon consideration of the Joint Motion ORDERED that the motion be:	to Dismiss Without l	Prejudice, it is HEREBY
[X] GRANTED.		
DENIED because:		
7/26/22 Date	Hon. John Burns Immigration Judg	e e
CERTIFIC This document was served by: [ M ] Mai	ATE OF SERVICE  1; [ P ] Personal Service	ce; [ O ] Other:
To: [ ] Alien; [ ] Alien c/o Custodial	Officer; [F] Alien's A	tty/Rep.; [6] DHS
- 7/0/12 00	- 121	21,



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMITERATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

A-Number:
(b)(6)
Riders:
(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)
In Removal Proceedings
Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
Date:
08/16/2022


#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was s	erved:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ]	Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Se	rvice	
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ [	M ] Noncitizen's atty/re	p.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name :	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:			
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINISTRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (p)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	08/16/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE


Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:				
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service				
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS				
Respondent Name (b)(6) A-Number: (b)(6)		(b)(6)		
Riders:				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	]	
(b)(6)		(b)(6)		

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINITY RATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:		
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
To:			
(b)(6)	Riders:		
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)		
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)		
toyoy	In Removal Proceedings		
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security		
	Date:		
	08/16/2022		


#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service			
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS			
Respondent Na	ame [	(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)
Riders:			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	<b></b>

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
To:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
	Date:	
	09/19/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000043600

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

This document was	served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P	] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Ser	rvice		
To: [ M ] Noncitize	n   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer	[ ] Noncitiz	en's atty/rep.	[E]DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)	
Riders:				
Date: 09/20/2022 B	v: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff			



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
To:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
	Date:	
	09/13/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Honduras, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

established as charged.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was served:
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ P ] DHS
Respondent Name: (b)(6) A-Number (b)(6)
Riders:
Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINITYRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
То:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security Date:	
	04/27/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

000000043902 MM BUNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Burns, John , Immigration Judge

Date: 04/27/2022



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINISTRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:	
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
То:		
(b)(6)	Riders:	
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings	
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
	Date:	
	09/19/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear an	ıd
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.	

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000043603

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

This document was served:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service			
To: [ ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncit	izen's atty/rep	.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:		,	
Date: 09/19/2022 By: Burns, John, Immigration Judge			



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
(b)(6)	04/27/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000043694 NN BURNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 04/27/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Gillis, Brittney, Court Staff

Date: 04/27/2022



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINISTRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	10/03/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

J00000043605 BULLS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 10/03/2022

This document was served:
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name: (b)(6) A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:
Date: 10/03/2022 By: Friedman, Michael, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINITY RATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) {b)(6}
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	09/19/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

This docu	ment was serv	ed:		
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ P ] Po	ersonal Service   [	[ E ] Electronic Service	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS				
Respondent Name:		(b)(6)	A-Number : (b)(6)	
Riders:				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)		
Date: 09/	19/2022 By: I	Burns, John , Imm	migration Judge	



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	09/13/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000943607

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was serve	ed:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Pe	rsonal Service   [ E ] Ele	ectronic Service	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custod	ial officer   [ E ] Nonci	itizen's atty/rep.   [ P ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:			
Date: 09/14/2022 By: L	ee, Sangvoon, Court St	taff	



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date: 09/19/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability
established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

This document was serv	ved:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] P	ersonal Service   [ E ] Electronic Servi	ce	
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer [ [ ]	E ] Noncitizen	's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name :	(b)(6)	A-Number [	(b)(6)
Riders:		_	
Date: 09/19/2022 By:	Burns, John . Immigration Judge		



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number: (b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
(b)(6)	09/13/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

**ORDER**: Respondent shall be removed to Senegal, or in the alternative to on the charge(s) contained in the Notice to Appear.

established as charged.

00000043609

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was served:
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Personal Service   [ E ] Electronic Service
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ P ] DHS
Respondent Name: (b)(6) A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:
Date: 09/14/2022 By: Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMINITATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
	09/19/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
$\boxtimes$	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

09000043610

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/19/2022

This document was serv	ed:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Pe	rsonal Service   [ E ] Ele	ctronic Service		
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodi	al officer   [ E ] Nonci	itizen's atty/rep.	[ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number	(b)(6)	]
Riders:				,
Date: 09/19/2022 By: B	Burns, John , Immigration	n Judge		



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW NEW YORK - BROADWAY IMMIGRATION COURT

Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	09/13/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of t

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000043611

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 09/13/2022

This document was serv	ed:			
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Pe	ersonal Service   [ E ] Electronic Servic	e		
To: [ M ] Noncitizen   [	] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer   [ E	] Noncitizen	's atty/rep.   [ P	] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number:	(b)(6)	
Riders:				
Date: 09/14/2022 By: I	Lee, Sangyoon, Court Staff			



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	01/06/2023
ORDER	ON MOTION TO DISMISS
a motion to dismiss these proceedings	ent of Homeland Security  the parties jointly has/have filed s under 8 CFR 1239.2(c). The moving party has given notice of and the court has provided the non-moving party with an
opportunity to respond. The motion is	
After considering the facts and circur dismiss is:	nstances, the immigration court orders that the motion to
☐ Granted without prejudice	
☐ Denied	
Forther and ordinar	
Further explanation:	

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Immigration Judge: Burns, John 01/06/2023

## **Certificate of Service**

This document was se	erved:		
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ]	Personal Service   [ E ] El	ectronic Service	>
To: [ ] Noncitizen [ [	] Noncitizen c/o custodia	l officer   [ E ] l	Noncitizen atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name:	(b)(6)	A-Number :	(b)(6)
Riders:		_	
Date: 01/06/2023 By	Friedman Michael Cou	rt Staff	



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
То:	'
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	In Removal Proceedings
(b)(6)	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
(b)(6)	Date:
(b)(6)	07/18/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

000000436/3 DM SLMS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 07/18/2022

### Certificate of Service

This document was served:

Via: [ M ] Mail | [ P ] Personal Service | [ E ] Electronic Service

To: [ M ] Noncitizen | [ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial officer | [ ] Noncitizen's atty/rep. | [ E ] DHS

By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff

Date: 07/21/2022



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
	(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	08/16/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

- At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
- The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

JOHN BURNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This docur	ment was s	erved:			
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ P	Personal Service   [ E ] Ele	ctronic S	Service	
To:[] No	oncitizen	[ ] Noncitizen c/o custodial	officer	[ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DH	S
Responder	nt Name	(b)(6)		A-Number : (b)(6)	
Riders:					
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
/hV/s\	(6)(6)				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
	(b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
	(b)(6)
	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	08/16/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear	r and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charg	ed.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

JONN BUNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This docum	nent was ser	ved:			
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ P ] P	ersonal Service   [ E	] Electronic Serv	vice .	
To:[]No	ncitizen   [ ]	Noncitizen c/o custo	odial officer   [ N	[A] Noncitizen's atty/	rep.   [ E ] DHS
Responden	t Name	(b)(6)		A-Number:	(b)(6)
Riders:				_	
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
(8)(8)	(b)(6)				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
[	(b)(6)				
Date: 08/1	6/2022 By:	Scheinberg, Tami, C	Court Staff		



Respondent Name:	A-Number:
(b)(6)	(b)(6)
To:	
(b)(6)	Riders:
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
	(b)(6) (b)(6)
(b)(6)	(b)(6) (b)(6)
	(b)(6)
	In Removal Proceedings
	Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security
	Date:
	08/16/2022

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

JONN BUNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

### **Certificate of Service**

This docum	nent was	served:			
Via: [ M ]	Mail   [ F	] Personal Service [ E ]	Electronic Se	ervice	
To:[]No	ncitizen	[ ] Noncitizen c/o custo	dial officer   [	M ] Noncitizen's atty/r	ep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name		(b)(6)		A-Number	(b)(6)
Riders:					
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
	(b)(6)				
(b)(6)		(b)(6)			
- [	(b)(6)				

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff



A-Number:	
(b)(6)	
Riders:	
In Removal Proceedings	
Initiated by the Department of Homeland Security	
Date:	
08/16/2022	

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

conde	neted the hearing in nosemia. At the hearing, the himigration court determined that.
	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
	The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

00000049617 PUVh5

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

## **Certificate of Service**

This document was serv	ed:	
Via: [ M ] Mail   [ P ] Pe	ersonal Service	e   [ E ] Electronic Service
To:[] Noncitizen [[]	Noncitizen c/o	custodial officer   [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Name	(b)(6)	A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:		
Date: 08/16/2022 But 9	Scheinberg Ta	mi Court Staff



Respondent Name:	A-Number:		
(b)(6)	(b)(6)		
Го:	_		
(b)(6)	Riders:		
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
	(b)(6)	(b)(6)	•
(b)(6)	(b)(6)	(b)(6)	
		(b)(6)	
	In Removal Proc	ceedings	
	Initiated by the I	Department of Homeland	Security
	D .		
	Date:		

#### ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Respondent was provided written notification of the time, date and location of Respondent's removal hearing. Respondent was also provided a written warning that failure to appear at the hearing, except for exceptional circumstances as defined in Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) § 240(e)(1), may result in the hearing being held in Respondent's absence under INA § 240(b)(5) and an order of removal being entered if the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) establishes by clear, unequivocal and convincing evidence that Respondent or Respondent's representative has been provided this notice and that Respondent is removable.

Despite the written notification provided, Respondent failed to appear at the hearing, and no exceptional circumstances were shown for the failure to appear. Therefore, the immigration court conducted the hearing *in absentia*. At the hearing, the immigration court determined that:

	At a prior hearing, Respondent admitted the factual allegations in the Notice to Appear and
	conceded removability. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.
K-71	The DUS submitted decumentary evidence relating to Decompdest that established the truth of t

The DHS submitted documentary evidence relating to Respondent that established the truth of the factual allegations contained in the Notice to Appear. The immigration court finds removability established as charged.

The immigration court further finds that Respondent's failure to appear constitutes an abandonment of any pending applications for relief or protection from removal and any applications the respondent may have been eligible to file. Those applications are deemed abandoned and denied for lack of prosecution.

JOHN BURNS

Immigration Judge: Burns, John 08/16/2022

## **Certificate of Service**

This document w	as served	*	
Via: [ M ] Mail	[P]Pers	onal Service   [ E ] Elec	etronic Service
To:[] Noncitize	en [ ] No	oncitizen c/o custodial o	officer   [ M ] Noncitizen's atty/rep.   [ E ] DHS
Respondent Nam	ie:	(b)(6)	A-Number: (b)(6)
Riders:			
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	
(b	)(6)		<u> </u>
(b)(6)		(b)(6)	
(b)(	(6)		

Date: 08/16/2022 By: Scheinberg, Tami, Court Staff